

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,041	04/14/2004	John P. Franz	200400506-1	6040
22879	7590 08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPA	OMGBA, ESSAMA		
	2400, 3404 E. HARMO UAL PROPERTY ADI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		3726	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/824,041	FRANZ, JOHN P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· _ ·	—· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/04</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/824,041

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the material" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 15, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Whiteside et al. (US Patent 3,958,389).

With regards to claim 1, Whiteside et al. discloses a product comprising a captive rivet 10 with a captive region 18 disposed on a lower portion of a captive rivet shank 14, a first material 40 wherein a deformed portion of the first material is deformed into the capture region (figures 3a-3d).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,041

Art Unit: 3726

For claim 2, hammer 60 causes deformation of the first material. Furthermore the recitation of a captive hammer causing a deformation of a portion of the first material is a product-by-process limitation and as such lends no patentable weight to the product being claimed. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), see MPEP §2113 [R-1].

For claims 3-7, see figures 3a-3d. Applicant should note that it is inherent that a plurality of captive rivets will be used in attaching vehicle components parts for example.

For claims 15 and 18, see figures 1 and 2.

For claims 16, 19 and 20, Applicant should note that the intended use and the process of using the rivet lend no patentable weight to the rivet being claimed.

Furthermore the rivet of Whiteside et al. is capable of being used as claimed by Applicant.

5. Claims 8, 9, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller (US Patent 6,125,524).

Mueller discloses a riveting system comprising a rivet anvil configured to support a captive rivet 10 with a captive region 23 and a captive rivet hammer configured to deform a portion of the material such that a deformed portion of the material is forced

Art Unit: 3726

into the capture region. Mueller also discloses the method, see column 9, lines 32-52 and figures 8, 9, 16 18 and 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10-14, 24-27 and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller.

With regards to claims 10-13, 24-26 and 29-34, Mueller discloses a riveting system and a method of securing a captive rivet to a material as shown above. Although Mueller does not disclose a computer readable medium having a program for securing the captive rivet in the material, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to write a program for securing a captive rivet to a material and using a computer readable medium including appropriate controllers for hammers and anvils to secure the captive rivet to the material.

For claims 14 and 27, Applicant should note that such deforming hammers are known as seen in the Whiteside et al. reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3726